OUTLINE

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT ENIWETOK CLEANUP

1.	Sco	pe of the Proposed Cleanup and Rehabilitation	Responsible Agency
	•	gram	•
	a.	Cleanup (make safe for human habitation)	H&N
		(Brief Statement on the intended work)	
	ъ.	Rehabilitation (Short and long range development	DOI
	. *	plans for resettlement of Eniwetok people	
		(Brief statement on the intended work)	
	•		•
2.	Sum	mary of Impacts	
	Sum	marize all impacts of proposed actions both	H&N w/Inputs from AEC & DC
	adv	erse as well as advantageous	
3.	The	Eniwetok Islands - History and Status	
	a.	Physical description of Eniwetok Area	H&N
		(1) geography - geology - climatology - hydrology	
		(2) ecology - flora, fauna	
	b.	Historical background of Atoll	H&N
•	c.	Anthropology - the Eniwetok people	H&N
•	d.	Economic and cultural resources	H&N/DOI
	е.	National historical places - archaeological sites	H&N



÷.	•	.•			Responsible Agency
	. f.	The	H&N/AEC		
		testi	ng		
	. g.	Pres	sent condition of the Islands		
		- re	sults of radiologic survey		AEC
		- re	sults of engineering survey		H&N
4.	Pres	sent st	atus of the Eniwetok People on Ujelang		DOI/TTPI
	a.	Desc	cription of Ujelang		
	b. .	Life	of the people on Ujelang		
•	c.	Pref	erences of the people for their future		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
5.	Prop	osed.	Actions		
	a.	Intro		H&N	
	b.	Clea			
	L	(1)	Criteria		
			radiological		AEC
			physical		H&N
		(2)	Contaminated debris removal and dispe	•	H&N
		(3)	Contaminated soil removal and disposa	,	H&N
		(4)	Non-contaminated debris removal and	disposal	H&N
		(5)	Implementation plans		H&N



Responsible Agency

DOI/TTPI

- c. Resettlement and Rehabilitation Plan
 - (1) Master plan for use of the Islands
 - (2) Economic development
 - (3) Social and cultural development
 - (4) Implementation plans

H&N/DOI

DNA with DOI/AEC input

6. A Environmental Impacts

Effects on:

- (1) Air quality
- (2) Water quality
- (3) Land and lagoon use patterns
- (4) Life systems
- (5) Food chains
 - (6) Health
- (7) Flora and fauna
 - (8) Historical and archaeological sites
 - (9) Other
- 7. Alternatives to the Proposed Actions
 - a. Cleanup and Return
 - (1) No cleanup, no return
 - (2) No cleanup, return

H&N with AEC/DOI input



Responsible
Agency

- (3) Partial cleanup and return
- (4) Cleanup and return
- b. Disposal of hazardous materials

	disposal	
(1)		

(1) Island disposa

(2) lagoon disposal

(3) ocean disposal

(4) return to CONUS

(5) beryllium

c. Responsible Agency

- (1) DOD
- (2) TTPI
- (3) AEC
- d. Resettlement and Rehabilitation
 - (1) Land use and long range development plans
 - Describe various alternatives to the plan

proposed and discuss pros and cons of which lead

to the most advantageous plan

(2) Economic development

- Describe various alternatives to the plan

proposed and discuss pros and cons of which lead

to the most advantageous plan

H&N

H&N

H&N

H&N

DNA/USAF

DNA

DOI to develop

DOI to develop



		Responsible Agency
	(3) Social and Cultural Development	DOI to develop
	- Describe various alternatives to the pla	an
	proposed and discuss pros and cons of whi	ch lead
	to the most advantageous plan	
e.	Cost Benefit Analysis of the Various Alternative	s
	(1) Cost Analysis of Alternatives	H&N to develop w/AEC input
•	(2) Political, Cultural, and Social Benefits	DOI to develop
		•
Adv	erse Environmental Effects which cannot be avoid	ed
a.	Water Quality	DNA to develor w/AEC & DOI Input
, b.	Air Quality	DNA to develor w/AEC & DOI
	•	Input
c.	Land and Lagoon Use Patterns	DNA to develop
•		w/AEC & DOI Input
. ₺ d.	Damage to Life Systems	DNA to develop
		w/AEC & DOI Input
e.	Threats to Health	DNA to develop
		w/AEC & DOI Input
A f.	Food Chain	DNA to develop
		w/AEC & DOI

Input

Respo	nsible
Ager	су

g. National Historical Places, Archaeological Sites

DNA to devel w/AEC & DO: Input

Ah. Flora and Fauna

long term effects.

DNA to devel w/AEC & DC Input

i. Other

DNA to deve w/AEC & D(Input

Relationship between short term effects and long term productivity
An assessment of the proposed actions for cumulative and

DNA to devel w/AEC & DO Inputs

10. Irreversible or irretrievable commitments of resources.

Identify the extent to which the proposed action curtails
the range of beneficial uses of the environment.

DNA to devel w/AEC & DC



TENTATIVE SCHEDULE FOR PREPARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENTS FOR CLEANUP AND REHABILITATION OF ENIWETOK

Date

T	repruary	13	-	DNA	Contractor	Starts	WOLK			
	•						•	•	1.4.4	

- 1 May 73 Circulate proposed DEIS to DOD, DOI and AEC
 for review
- 10 May 73 Joint Conference DNA/DOD/DOI/AEC to Review and Comment on Draft and Resolve Differences
- 1 June 73 * File DEIS with Council on Environmental Quality.

 Make DEIS available to Public. Solicit Views
 of Other Federal, State and Local Agencies.
- Brief Eniwetok Council, Hold Public Hearings in Ujelang and/or Majuro on Cleanup and Rehabilitation Plan.
- Receive Comments on DEIS from CEQ, Public and Other Agencies. Review Validity, Work Up Resolution and Method of Handling.
- 15 August 73** Conference DNA/DOD/DOI/AEC to Resolve Comments and Method of Handling.
- 30 August 73** Brief Eniwetok Council on Comments and Methods of Resolution.
- 30 August 73** Circulate Draft of Final EIS to DOD, DOI, AEC and TTPI for review.
- 15 September 73**-File Final EIS with CEQ and Make Available to Public.
- 15 October 73** Decision on Execution of Cleanup and Rehabilitation Plan.

NOTES: * Decision to publish will involve due consideration for the status of the AEC Radiological data review.

** Dates are dependent on AEC Radiological Survey Report.

