

ATOLLS UPON WHICH SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT COULD HAVE OCCURRED
FROM THE
PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS
DURING
ATMOSPHERIC TESTING

DRAFT

104806

DOE ARCHIVES

42

In light of ~~recent developments~~ on BIKINI and ENIWETOK atolls it is prudent that some consideration be given to fallout from the Pacific Proving Grounds which may have been carried to other atolls during the period of atmospheric testing. (11-19-54)

Fallout patterns and other ~~pertinent~~ ^{Pacific Proving Grounds} data on the various nuclear tests are very limited. However, hodographs are known for nearly all of the tests conducted in the Pacific. These hodographs and available fallout patterns have been ~~checked~~ ^{studied} to discern which events may have had ^{significant} fallout on Pacific atolls. Those hodographs and fallout patterns which ~~indicate~~ ^{indicate} ~~positive fallout~~ have positive indications or suggest significant fallout on these atolls are indicated, as well as the source of such information.

Due to the intensive fallout from the CASTLE BRAVO event on RONGELAP and UTIRIK atolls, some effort was made in the past to investigate the radioactive deposition on these and a few other atolls in the fallout pattern. Unfortunately, the utility of these investigations is limited due to the ^{small number of} atolls visited, the now primitive treatment of the samples (gross gamma, gross beta, and other crude evaluations), and age of the survey. Only on RONGELAP, BIKINI and ENIWETOK atolls have any recent studies been undertaken. The rest of the fallout area ^{apparently has} ~~seems to have~~ been ignored.

Utilizing various reports, fallout patterns and hodographs, this investigator has evaluated the data available, realizing its limitations, and thus the limitations of such a study, and suggests that possible significant ^{fallout} fallout has occurred on several atolls which do not appear to have been investigated previously. This fallout, or the hodographs suggesting it, is presented as figures with other pertinent information presented in tabular form for brevity.

DOE ARCHIVE

COMMENTS ON SOURCE INFORMATIONFallout Patterns

The source documents (^{listed} indicated in the References portion of this report) indicate the ~~dose~~^{dose rate} contours for the fallout patterns have been drawn to show the gamma ~~dose~~^{dose rate} rate in roentgens per hour, three feet above the ground, in terms of the one hour after burst reference time. The $t^{-1.2}$ approximation was used when no actual decay data was available to adjust radiation measurements to the one hour reference time. It is important to recognize the H + 1 hour is used as a reference time, and that only the contours from low yield were complete at one hour after burst. For high yield weapons, fallout over some parts of the vast areas shown did not commence until many hours after burst.

Where several fallout patterns were available for a particular event, each has been presented.

Hodographs

The hodographs were drawn for a constant balloon rise rate of 5,000 ft/hr and are presented because other, more meaningful, information is not available. Several hodographs are ^{presented} indicated for the H plus times indicated by the number at the end of the arrow. This number is in H plus hours.

It is recognized that fallout did not necessarily follow the hodographs presented herein. However, a simple comparison of the CASTLE BRAVO hodographs with the actual or modeled fallout patterns will show the merit of their consideration.

DOE ARCHIVES

FINDINGS

~~Apparently~~ ³T There are eleven nuclear tests which may have deposited radioactive materials in significant amounts greater than world wide fallout on several of the Pacific Atolls. These events and the atolls they may have contaminated are indicated in tabular form in Table 1. Additionally, the fallout pattern, if available, or several hodographs are indicated in Figures ___ through ___, for each contaminating event. ~~XXXX~~ For immediate reference, the habitation of the atolls under discussion is indicated, with population figures and remarks, where applicable, in Table 2.

It is pertinent to note that in addition to ENIWETOK, BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, BIKAR, TAKA, ~~XXX~~ UTIRIK, and LIKIEP atolls, which have ~~been~~ looked at by others at some time in the past, several other atolls are indicated: AILUK, JEMO, KWAJALEIN, LAE, MEJIT, TAONGI, UJAE, UJELANG, WOTHO ~~XX~~ and WOTJE. Since the utility of the studies ~~done~~ on BIKAR, TAKA, LIKIEP and possibly AILINGINAE ~~and~~ RONGERIK, and UTIRIK, is somewhat limited, these may also added to the exceptions above. This would mean that, including the "source" atolls of ENIWETOK and BIKINI, a total of 19 atolls may have been contaminated with significant amounts of radioactive materials. Only on three, ENIWETOK, BIKINI and RONGELAP, possibly four if UTIRIK is included, is there any useful ~~environmental~~ ^{radiological} radiological data.

Since actual fallout patterns are lacking for most of the ~~contaminating~~ ^{suspicious} events, an attempt was made to weigh the potential of each event in such a manner as to make sense. ~~Since~~ ^{As} the fallout pattern of the CASTLE BRAVO event is well known (actually there are three different fallout patterns available) this deposition potential was normalized to ~~that event.~~ ^{CASTLE BRAVO} ~~this~~ ^{The result} treatment ^{is} presented in Table 3. The potential expressed here is really a factor, or multiplier, of the CASTLE BRAVO fallout. It may be applied simply by taking the CASTLE BRAVO deposition at a distance from the GZ ~~and~~

DOE ARCHIVES

CASTLE BRAVO

draft

similar to the distance from GZ, along the hodograph, of the event in-
question, and multiplying it by the "potential" factor. The result should
be a "ballpark" estimate of what fallout may have occurred at the location
in question. Obviously, there is no claim to any precision or accuracy with
this method. It is only offered as a crude mechanism to estimate ~~the~~ possible
deposition in the absence of actual data. ~~It is a crude attempt at scaling.~~

DOE ARCHIVES

46

TABLE 1. POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT FROM PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS, SUSPECTED ATOLLS

EVENT	ATOLL	BLACK - POSITIVE	RED - POSSIBLE
15 KT SANDSTONE ZEBRA 5/48 T	ENIWETOK, BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, TAKA, BIKAR, UTIRIK		X
GREENHOUSE DOG 4/51 T	ENIWETOK, UJELANG		
GREENHOUSE GEORGE 5/51 T	ENIWETOK, BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK		X
500 FT IVY KING 11/52 P	ENIWETOK, UJELANG, *	✓	
75 KT CASTLE BRAVO 2/54 S	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, TAKA, BIKAR, AILUK, LIKIEP, JEMO, UTIRIK, WOTHG, KWAJALEIN, WOTJE		✓
CASTLE UNION 4/54 B	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, TAKA, BIKAR, TAONGI, UTIRIK		✓
CASTLE YANKEE 5/54 B	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, BIKAR, TAONGI		✓
2.5 KT ✓ REDWING ZUNI 5/56 S	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK		X
10 KT ✓ REDWING LACROSS 5/56 S	ENIWETOK, BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, BIKAR, TAONGI		X
HARDTACK MAGNOLIA 5/58 B	ENIWETOK, UJELANG, *	✓	
✓ HARDTACK MAPLE 6/58 B	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, WOTHG, UJAE, LAE, KWAJALEIN		X

* This hodograph indicated that the fallout pattern could have extended southwest as far as Ponape and other nearby atolls.

47

DOE ARCHIVES

TABLE 2. HABITATION OF ATOLLS UNDER DISCUSSION

TOLL or ISLAND	INHABITED (Pop.) yr.	BEING REINHABITED	UNINHABITED	REMARKS
DLINGINAE			X	Visited by Rongelapese
LILUK	(395) 1962 ¹			
BIKAR			X	
BIKINI		X		
ENIWETOK		X		
JEMO			X	
KWAJALEIN	(>1000) 1973 ²			
LAE	(133) 1962 ¹			
LIKIEP	(662) 1962 ¹			
MEJIT	(203) 1962 ¹			
RONGELAP	(208) 1962 ¹			
RONGERIK			X	Visited by Rongelapese
TAKA			X	Visited by Utirikese
TAONGI			X	
UJAE	(146) 1962 ¹			

DOE ARCHIVES

48

TABLE 2. Continued

ATOLL or ISLAND	INHABITED (Pop.) yr.	BEING REINHABITED	UNINHABITED	REMARKS
UJELANG	(340) 1973 ³			
UTIRIK	(319) 1962 ¹			
WOTHO	(56) 1962 ¹			
WOTJE	(463) 1962 ¹			

DOE ARCHIVES

- ¹ _____, SAILING DIRECTIONS FOR THE PACIFIC ISLANDS, H. O. Pub. No. 82, Vol. I., U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office, 1964, (Chapter 5, Marshall Islands), Change 4 Incorporated, 5 December 1970.
- ² Henderson, John W., et. al., AREA HANDBOOK FOR OCEANIA, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 1971, p. 503.
- ³ Tobin, J. A., THE ENEWETAK ATOLL PEOPLE, Special Report for the Radiological Survey of 1972-1973, Majuro, 20 April 1973, p. 10.

49

TABLE 3. DEPOSITION POTENTIAL NORMALIZED TO BRAVO

EVENT	POTENTIAL
✓ SANDSTONE ZEBRA	0.002
GREENHOUSE DOG	0.010
GREENHOUSE GEORGE	0.025
✓ IVY KING	0.069
✓ CASTLE BRAVO	1.000
✓ CASTLE UNION	0.720
✓ CASTLE YANKEE	1.050
REDWING ZUNI	0.070
REDWING LACROSS	0.005
✓ HARDTACK MAGNOLIA	0.007
✓ HARDTACK MAPLE	0.027

DOE ARCHIVES


TABLE 4. NORMALIZED DEPOSITION POTENTIAL APPLIED TO EACH ATOLL BY CONTAMINATING EVENT

ATOLL	WOTUJ	WOTUJ	UJIRIK	UJELANG	UJAE	TRAKU	TRAKU	KONGOCIK	KONGOCIK	MEJIT	ZILALEP	LABE	ICUNJAE	JEMO	ENJUEZOK	BLUNJ	BLIKAR	AILLIK	AILIK	AILIK	
SANDSTONE ZEBRA																					
GREENHOUSE DOG																					
GREENHOUSE GEORGE																					
IVY KING																					
CASTLE BRAVO																					
CASTLE YANKEE																					
REDWING ZUNI																					
REDWING LACROSS																					
HARDTACK MAGNOLIA																					
HARDTACK MAPLE																					

DOE ARCHIVE

51

ATOLLS ON WHICH SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT COULD HAVE OCCURRED FROM THE PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS



bited
UNCLASSIFIED

52

of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office.

GRAPHIC INDEX

ATOLLS ON WHICH SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT COULD HAVE OCCURRED FROM THE PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS






Chart limits shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office. Numbers refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.



ATOLLS EVALUATED BY DUNNING, AUGUST 1957

GRAPHIC INDEX

SANDSTONE ZEBRA



POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS SANDSTONE ZEBRA

CHAPTER 5

WAKE ISLAND
(INSET)


DOE ARCHIVE

(SEE CHAPTER 6)

of the best available charts issued to naval vessels by the U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office.

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS **GREENHOUSE DOG**

GRAPHIC INDEX



H.O. 82-Change 3

265

Islands shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office. Refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.


GRAPHIC INDEX

S
1 mi.
N
S

56

DOE ARCHIVES

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS GREENHOUSE GEORGE




ICES
 O NING
 IDOWARS
 TRANS

57

of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office.

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS IY KING



U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROEING GROUNDS **IVY KING**





Chart limits shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office. Numbers refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS CASTLE BRAVO




GRAPHIC INDEX

H.O. 62-Change 3


60

DOE ARCHIVE

Office




SCALE
0 20 40 60 80 100
miles
Rise rate: 5000 ft/hr



DOE ARCHIVES

Hodographs for Operation CASTLE - Shot 1 - Bravo.

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS CASTLE BRAVO




NRDL MODEL w/DATA
 (RAN), MODEL w/DATA


DISTANCES
 OF 60 NMs.
 TO PROVICING
 CENTERS

1.0
 R/1.0
 1.0


62

Chart limits shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office. Numbers refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.





Operation CASTLE - Shot 1 - Bravo.
 Off-site dose rate contours in r/hr at H+1 hour (NRDL).



DOE ARCHIVES

Operation CASTLE - Shot 1 - Bravo.
 Off-site dose rate contours in r/hr at H+1 hour (RAN

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS CASTLE UNION

GRAPHIC INDEX

18. TANGLES
IF 60 Nm.
K. HODOWAYS
C. HODOWAYS




Chart limits shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office. Numbers refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.

CHAPTER 5—GRAPHIC INDEX

H.O. 82—Change 3

66