

R

White House Conference on Fallout Protection

Introductory Statement of Governor Rockefeller

At their annual conference in Harrisburg last August, the assembled State Governors declared their personal and official responsibility as Governors, for the protection of their people against the hazard of fallout in the event of a nuclear war. In keeping with this sense of responsibility, they unanimously adopted both the report of their Special Committee on Civil Defense and a four-point program calling for

- First - Vigorous State initiative and a campaign of education about the threat of fallout away and protection against it.
- Second - Immediate steps by all levels of government, state and local as well as federal, to assist their citizens to survive and protect themselves from fallout and the related consequences of a nuclear attack upon our country.

CONFIDENTIAL

- Third - State initiative to a program of protection of fallout protection in state owned or operated facilities and the steps which should be taken to achieve such protection.
- Fourth - State initiative in development of a protected area of state property to assist government leaders in their and the tipping both during and after a nuclear attack.

This extraordinary resolution showed that the Governors not only recognized the complexity and urgency of the problem, but were ready to take initiative in its solution. In the five months since the Governors acted, potentially rewarding and constructive steps have been taken by a number of Governors in their home states. More will be done in the months ahead.

While the Governors at the Conference were willing to take initiative in this matter, it was apparent that the problem of fallout and protection against it involved many considerations of national policy, of the nature and effects of nuclear weapons and of military policy about which they, as Governors were, of necessity, not as thoroughly informed as the responsible officials of the Federal Government.

Governors did not wish to take action on the delicate and difficult matter of civil defense until they had assurance from the responsible Federal Government that such state action was contemplated by the Federal leaders in Washington not only as feasible but as an essential element of a valid defense against the threat to nuclear blackmail or attack and a positive factor in the preservation of the peace.

To make sure, therefore, that state action would be well and wisely taken, the Governors Conference unanimously adopted a resolution calling on their own committee on civil defense to meet with the President of the United States, the Congressional leaders and the key military and civilian members of his administration. Such a meeting, they believed, would enable them to determine the nature of the threat, the essential nature of fallout protection and its importance to the national quest for peace. Such a meeting would also lay the basis for agreement on a common course of action and the allocation of the responsibility for carrying it out.

President Eisenhower, when advised of this suggestion, was quick to see its merit and act on it. The result is this conference today. We are deeply grateful for the time and effort which the Governors and the leaders of his administration have given to make this briefing possible.

White House Conference on Fallout Protection

The Need for State and Local Initiative

Under the 1958 amendments to the national civil defense legislation, the Congress expressly declared, as its policy and intent, that the system of civil defense for the protection of life and property in the United States from attack be organized and that "the responsibility for civil defense activities be vested jointly in the Federal Government and the several states and their political subdivisions."

The Congress plainly believed that the nature and magnitude of the problems of protecting our entire population from the consequences of thermonuclear war would call not only for the best, but for the best cooperative effort by all levels of government, federal, state and local. This approach seems exceedingly sound.

One of the enduring merits of our federal system is its flexibility. It does not require the strict jurisdictional lines to be drawn between state, local or federal responsibilities other than those which the Constitution has expressly assigned. A multitude of cooperative arrangements are possible.

which the central thrust for action may come either from local, or state, or federal agencies as the case, the occasion, and the need may warrant. Arrangements under which federal, state and local government officials cooperate with a commonly shared and well defined purpose can produce solutions that may well be beyond the capacity of a single level of government, acting independently of the others.

So, in the case of fallout protection, a cooperative approach involving all levels of government, should permit us to achieve that delicate and complex balance between the desirable goals of centralized and federal leadership; on the one hand, and the freedom of action and initiative, on the other. Technical, jurisdictional or legalistic arguments as to where the "paramount" responsibility resides should not contribute to the constructive solution of what is an urgent problem for all of us.

This afternoon the federal officials will suggest to us the extent of the effort that will be made by the federal government in the future to achieve fallout protection and to support the states in their effort to provide such protection for their people. Regardless, however, of the level of effort of the federal government, regardless of the level of funds which the federal government can contribute

available, regardless of the inducements and incentives which the federal government may offer. In the development of fallout protection, there will be as an essential of any successful program for fallout protection for our citizens, the need for a national initiative.

Fallout threatens the health and the safety of every individual, and is a highly personal matter within the security of his own home. The health and safety of our citizens and the security of their homes is a classic area of state and local concern and responsibility. This concern is no less when the threat arises from fallout than it is when the threat comes from fires, pollution of water and air, radiation, hazardous structures, noxious odors, crimes or disease.

Fallout protection falls well within the familiar range of operation of local codes and regulations, and of the local inspection and enforcement which enable us to live orderly and secure lives. Building regulations, fire codes, police protection, safe and healthy working conditions, the return of school children to their homes, adequate housing, maintenance of sanitation facilities, and the security of food and medicines to those in distress are all subjects of community activity which are of a local and personal nature. The same care and vigilance that are applied to local handling.

Since such matters as these are the province of  
of extensive state and local regulation, inspection, and  
enforcement, an adaptation of these regulations,  
systems as may be needed to meet the requirements of  
radioactive fallout risk, if we are to avoid the  
of regulation and administrative confusion, should  
be left to state and local initiative and action,  
action.

The National Plan, promulgated by the  
President, makes dramatic and clear the extent of  
personal and local nature of the fallout protection  
problem. The National Plan makes plain its demand to  
each and every individual citizen with these words:

"Each person and family must be prepared  
to meet individual survival requirements  
for two weeks following an attack without  
dependence on outside assistance."  
(Underlining supplied)

This obligation, which rests on every  
individual, to prepare to survive without outside help  
for the first two weeks after attack is fulfilled under  
the National Plan, with the state and local government  
responsibility to assist, during the succeeding two-week  
period, in meeting the survival needs of the individual.  
Not until the fifth week following an attack  
anticipated, or planned, that the federal government  
would be able to render assistance either to the state  
or to local communities or to the individual, if  
desperately in need of it.

For the first time in the history of the world, therefore, under the threat of nuclear annihilation, is operating, survives as a nation, a society, a state responsibility. As a nation, we stand in a unique position. Our state and local communities are not prepared to meet these vital responsibilities, nor will they be able to meet them adequately without a full exercise of state initiative. This is a matter of national security and official concern of every Governor.

State initiative, accordingly, is required for the fullest federal participation and leadership is required by the nature of the problem. The measures which are required to protect the people and by the steps which are needed to survive in the presence.

There is a great deal of competition for state initiative. Only if the people in all of the fifty states fully understand the nature of the hazard and how they may contribute to successful fallout protection programs, fully effective calls for a tremendous educational job. And, how imaginative projects, like the use of communication, the best of national resources are achieved only if individual citizens participate at the local level, in the concrete, understanding the day-by-day steps to personal fallout protection for themselves, their families, and their communities.

In the past we have only by a community-wide effort which is essential to all participants, with the public truly alerted to the danger and truly informed as to the need to avert the awful eventuality of a nuclear war, could we save our country.

Much has been said about the apathy of the American public toward civil defense measures. I am persuaded that we are witnessing a phenomenon which is not so much apathy as it is a reaction to the unknown face of the unknown. If the American people were of the essentiality of their protection and the feasibility of achieving it and they participate directly and actively in the affirmative steps to achieve it, the present apathy will be replaced by vigorous action and a confidence in a peaceful future. Then, indeed, we could have some measure of assurance that, no matter how terrifyingly, or realistically, nuclear blackmail may be threatened by those who might be tempted to impinge upon our reasonableness or our love of peace, our nation, could maintain its liberty and peace.